

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,635	11/30/2004	Allan Bernard	016325-013900US	2823
20350 7590 03/22/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			CHANDRA, GYAN	
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Amplicant/a				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,635	BERNARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gyan Chandra	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuary 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 17-21 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 17, in part, 18, and 21 are drawn to a method of diagnosing an individual who is diabetic or prediabetic comprising detecting the level of a polypeptide with an antibody that specifically binds to the polypeptide in a sample from said individual.

Group 2, claim(s) 17,in part, 19-20, 21 are drawn to a method of diagnosing an individual who is diabetic or prediabetic comprising detecting the level of a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide comprising quantifying mRNA encoding the polypeptide using polymerase chain reaction in a sample from said individual

The inventions listed as Groups 1-2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group 1, drawn to the special technical feature of diagnosing an individual who is diabetic or prediabetic comprising detecting the level of a polypeptide with an antibody that specifically binds to the polypeptide in a sample from said individual, which is not required by the method of Group 2.

Group 2, drawn to the special technical feature of diagnosing an individual who is diabetic or prediabetic comprising detecting the level of a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide comprising quantifying mRNA encoding the polypeptide using polymerase chain reaction in a sample from said individual, which is not required by the method of Group 1.

Art Unit: 1646

Further Restriction

Page 3

Groups 1 and 2:

If Group 1 or 2 is elected, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372:

The inventions Groups 1 and 2 pertain to a number of polypeptide sequences or nucleic acids encoding a polypeptide sequence listed in claim 17 e.g., SEQ NO: 2, 4 or 6.

Each of the claimed polypeptide sequences are composed of amino acid units and are structurally distinct molecules and each polypeptide requires a special technical feature which is different of other polypeptides. Each sequence requires a unique separate search of the prior art. Searching two claimed sequences would constitute an undue burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resource because of the non-coextensive nature of these searches. Therefore, Applicant must choose 1 sequence from the group against which the search should be performed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their separate search requirements, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Applicant elects group 1, a polypeptide (i.e., an amino acid sequence with SEQ ID Number) must be chosen to be considered fully responsive. If Applicant elects Groups 2, a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide (i.e., nucleic acid encoding a amino acid sequence with SEQ ID Number) must be chosen to be considered fully responsive. It is noted that the election of a polypeptide or nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide is a Restriction/Election and not a species election.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1646

, j

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gyan Chandra whose telephone number is (571) 272-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gyan Chandra, Ph.D. Art Unit 1646

06 March 2007

Fax: 571-273-2922

GARY B. NICKOL, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600